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## INTRODUCTION TO THE PROJECT

In today's world, math goes beyond the classroom. Our mission: Analyze how students perform in real-life math applications. This study combines tests and interviews to explore students' problem-solving abilities. We also dive into teachers' perceptions of math's real-life applications. Get ready for an in-depth
 look at math like never before

CHAPTER 1 PRELIMINARIES

## OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

- OBJECTIVE 1: "DESIGNING A RELEVANT TEST" - Crafting a math test for real-life application.
- OBJECTIVE 2: "REAL-LIFE MATH PROFICIENCY" - Assessing students' ability to use math practically.
- OBJECTIVE 3: "STUDENTS' PERSPECTIVES" - Understanding how students view math in daily life.
- OBJECTIVE 4: "TEACHERS' INSIGHTS" - Exploring teachers' opinions on math's realworld applications.


## METHOD OF THE STUDY

*FRAMEWORK DEVELOPMENT:
*TEST DEVELOPMENT
*TEACHER PERCEPTION SCALE

* EXPERT VALIDATION
*SAMPLING AND DATA COLLECTION
* DATA ANALYSIS


## POPULATION OF THE STUDY

- Students: Class 10 students in schools under the directorate of education, G.N.C.T. Of Delhi.
- Teachers: Trained graduate teachers (TGTs) in mathematics teaching in these schools.


## SAMPLE OF THE STUDY

- For students: 250 students from 10 schools, with a response rate of $86 \%(\mathrm{~N}=215)$.
- For teachers: 43 teachers from 10 schools, with a $93 \%$ response rate. $(N=40)$
$\bigcirc$


## TOOLS USED FOR THE STUDY

1. CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK: Designed to define key parameters for mathematics achievement test items measuring real-life problem-solving ability.
2. MATHEMATICS ACHIEVEMENT TEST: Developed for assessing students' real-life math application skills.
3. SEMI-STRUCTURED INTERVIEWS: Employed to understand students' perspectives on math's real-world use.
4. TEACHER PERCEPTION SCALE: Utilized to gauge teachers' views on math's practical applications.

## STATISTICAL TOOLS USED FOR THE STUDY

- DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS:
- Mean and standard deviation for student scores and Teachers' view
- HYPOTHESIS TESTING: Employing parametric tests for group mean comparisons
- INDEPENDENT SAMPLE T-TEST: Analyzing group differences(2 Groups)
- ONE WAY ANOVA: Exploring variability among multiple groups
$\bigcirc$


## MEAN

It signifies the average score in the math achievement test for students or the perception scale for teachers in our study.

$$
\bar{x}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}
$$

Where,
$\bar{x}$ represents the mean
$x_{i}$ Represents individual score or perception
N is the total number of data points

## STANDARD DEVIATION

It signifies how much individual data points deviate from the mean.
Offers insights into the variability of student scores or teacher perceptions in our analysis.

$$
\text { Standard deviation }(\sigma)=\sqrt{\frac{\sigma(\mathrm{x}-\bar{x})^{2}}{n}}
$$

Where,
$\sigma$ represents the standard deviation
${ }^{-}$is the mean
$n$ is the total number of data points

## INDEPENDENT SAMPLE T- TEST

- Evaluates significant differences between the means of two independent groups.
- In our project, used to compare mean scores of students in the mathematics achievement test based on different demographic factors and their impact on performance.
- Also employed to analyze mean scores in the perception scale among different demographic groups.

$$
\mathbf{T}=\frac{\overline{x_{1}}-\overline{x_{2}}}{\sqrt{\sqrt{\frac{s_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}}+\frac{s_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}}}}}
$$

- where, $\overline{\mathrm{x}}_{1}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{x}}_{2}$ are the sample means,
$\mathrm{s}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{s}_{2}$ are the sample standard deviations,
$\mathrm{n}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{n}_{2}$ are the sample sizes
Helps assess if observed differences are statistically significant.


## ONE-WAY ANOVA

- Analyzes if significant differences exist among means of three or more independent groups.
- Tests equality of means within multiple groups.
- Relevant in our project for assessing differences in mean scores or perceptions among various student or teacher groups.

$$
\mathbf{F}=\frac{\text { between }- \text { group variance }}{\text { within }- \text { group variance }}
$$

Where between-group variance represents the variation between group means,
within-group variance represents the variation within each group.

## Determines statistical significance of differences

- Chapter 2 will provide an in-depth exploration of the methodology we used in our study. It will give you a detailed understanding of how we collected, analysed, and interpreted the data.


## CHAPTER 2

## FRAMEWORK AND STUDENT ABILITIES



## DEMOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION OF STUDENTS

GENDER
■ Female, 134

Parents' Qualification


## MARKS SCORED IN THE LAST

 EXAMINATIONFREQUENCY OF ASSIGNMENT / HOMEWORKS


## DEMOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION OF TEACHERS

GENDER


EDUCATIONAL QUALIFICATION


NUMBER OF ACTIVE YEARS OF SERVICE


FREQENCY OF HOMEWORK / ASSIGNMENTS GIVEN


## ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF DATA

## DATA ANALYSIS APPROACH

1.STUDENT SCORES: Mean and standard deviation used to assess real-life math application skills.
2. TEACHER PERCEPTIONS: Mean and standard deviation employed to evaluate their views on math's practical applications.
3. STATISTICAL TESTS: Parametric tests, such as t-tests and ANOVA, examined mean differences among groups.

## OBJECTIVE 1: "DESIGNING A RELEVANT TEST" <br> - Crafting a math test for real-life application.

$\checkmark$ PISA 1999 framework: oecd's "measuring student knowledge and skills" guideline.
$\checkmark$ Math literacy: understanding math's real-world role and constructive application.
$\checkmark$ Competency levels: students' achievement is classified into three levels.

- LEVEL 1: BASICS AND FUNDAMENTAL MATH CONCEPTS.
- LEVEL 2: PROBLEM-SOLVING AND PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS.
- LEVEL 3: ADVANCED THINKING AND REAL-LIFE MATH USE.

Framework for assessing real-life mathematics competency
0
0

## Objective 2: "Real-Life Math Proficiency" -

 Assessing students' ability to use math practically.

## DEMOGRAPHIC FACTORS AFFECTING STUDENTS' APPLICATION OF MATHEMATICS TO REAL-LIFE PROBLEMS(OVERALL PERFORMANCE)

| Variable |  | N | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ | Mean | SD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender | Male | 81 | 38 | 32.2 | 7.6 |
|  | Female | 134 | 62 | 29.6 | 6.2 |
| Parents Qualification | Graduate and above | 26 | 12 | 31.1 | 7.4 |
|  | Senior Secondary | 39 | 18 | 32.7 | 6.9 |
|  | Matriculation | 136 | 63 | 29.7 | 6.7 |
|  | Dropped out before matriculationor never went to school | 14 | 07 | 32.3 | 6.1 |


| VARIABLE |  | N | \% Total | MEAN | S.D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frequency of Assignmentsor Homework | Almost on a daily basis | 116 | 54 | 35.7 | 5.0 |
|  | Occasionally in an irregularmanner | 99 | 46 | 24.6 | 2.4 |
| Marks Scored in the IastExamination | Below 60 percent in the lastmathematics examination | 155 | 72 | 29.9 | 6.4 |
|  | Between 60 and 70 percent in thelast mathematics examination | 46 | 21 | 30.1 | 6.8 |
|  | More than 70 percent in the last mathematics examination. | 14 | 07 | 38.9 | 7.3 |

O

- Gender differences: male students ( $38 \%$ of the sample) scored higher (32.2, S.D 7.6) than females ( $62 \%$ of the sample) with a score of 29.6 (S.D 6.2). Male scores were above the sample average, but more varied.
- Parental qualifications: surprisingly, students with less-educated parents outperformed those with more educated parents, suggesting a weak correlation.
- Homework frequency: daily assignments ( $54 \%$ of the sample) led to higher scores (35.7, S.D 5.0) compared to occasional assignments.
- Previous math exam performance: students scoring above 70\% (7\% of the sample) had the highest competency scores (38.9, S.D 7.3). Those scoring 60-70\% (21\%) and below $60 \%$ (72\%) had lower averages.
- Competency levels: level 1 , focused on reproduction, definitions, and computations, had the highest scores. Levels 2 and 3 showed lower scores but similar variation.
$\square$


## DEMOGRAPHIC FACTORS AFFECTING STUDENTS' APPLICATION OF MATHEMATICS TO REAL-LIFE PROBLEMS(LEVEL-WISE PERFORMANCE)



## DEMOGRAPHIC FACTOR - PARENTS' QUALIFICATION



## DEMOGRAPHIC FACTOR - HOMEWORK FREQUENCY



## DEMOGRAPHIC FACTOR MARKS SCORED IN THE LAST EXAMINATION



In summary, students' real-life mathematical problem-solving abilities vary across demographic profiles and competency levels. These variations drive the need for a detailed analysis of their significance. The upcoming chapters explores four subobjectives,

Subobjective 2.1:Effect of Gender<br>Subobjective 2.2:Effect of Parents Qualification<br>Subobjective 2.3: Effect of Homework Frequency<br>Subobjective 2.4:Effect of Marks scored in last Examination

each evaluating the influence of specific demographic factors on students' mathematical skills. We employ statistical tests to assess mean differences among diverse groups within competency levels

Chapter 3 consists of Subobjective 2.1 and 2.2

## CHAPTER 3

IN-DEPTH STUDENT ANALYSIS PART-1


## SUBOBJECTIVE 2.1:EFFECT OF GENDER

## LEVEL WISE MATHEMATICS ACHIEVEMENT TEST SCORE ACCORDING TO GENDER



## SUMMARY OF T-TEST OF MATHEMATICS ACHIEVEMENT SCORE ACCORDING TO GENDER ( $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{2 1 5 )}$

| Achievement Level | Gender | Sample <br> Size <br> (n) | Mean | Std. <br> Dev. | DF | $\begin{gathered} \text { t- } \\ \text { value } \end{gathered}$ | Pvalue | Level of Sig. | ¢ crit. | Signif. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level 1 | Male | 81 | 12.0 | 2.8 | 213 | 1.62 | 0.11 | 0.05 | 1.97 | NS |
|  | Female | 134 | 11.4 | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Level 2 | Male | 81 | 10.4 | 2.7 | 213 | 2.56 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 1.97 | S |
|  | Female | 134 | 9.5 | 2.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Level 3 | Male | 81 | 9.8 | 3.3 | 213 | 2.82 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 1.97 | S |
|  | Female | 134 | 8.6 | 2,9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall Achievement | Male | 81 | 32.2 | 7.6 | 213 | 2.73 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 1.97 | S |

The data suggests that male students exhibited a higher aptitude for applying mathematics to realworld problems compared to their female counterparts.

## SUBOBJECTIVE 2.2: Effect of Parents' Qualification

LEVEL WISE MATHEMATICS ACHIEVEMENT TEST SCORE A QUALIFICATION ACCORDING TO PARENTS' QUALIFICATION.


- SUMMARY OF ONE-WAY ANOVA OF MATHEMATICS ACHIEVEMENT TEST SCORE ACCORDING TO PARENTS QUALIFICATIONS

| Competency Level | Source | Sum of Squares | DF | Mean Squares | F | PValue | F Critical | Significance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level 1 | Between Groups | 44.1 | 3 | 14.7 | 2.6 | 0.06 | 2.65 | NS |
|  | Within <br> Groups | 1221.9 | 211 | 5.8 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 1266.0 | 214 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Level 2 | Between Groups | 14.8 | 3 | 4.5 | 0.76 | 0.5 | 2.65 | NS |
|  | Within Groups | 1397.0 | 211 | 6.6 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 1411.8 | 214 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Level 3 | Between Groups | 94.7 | 3 | 31.6 | 3.4 | 0.02 | 2.65 | S |
|  | Within <br> Groups | 1979.5 | 211 | 9.3 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 2074.1 | 214 |  |  |  |  |  |


| Between | 331.5 | 3 | 110.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall <br> Competency <br> Level | Within <br> Groups | 9976.3 | 211 | 47.1 | 2.35 | 0.07 | 2.65 | NS |
|  | Total | 10307.8 | 214 |  |  |  |  |  |

Level 1 and Level 2: Parents' qualifications show minimal impact on basic math skills.
Level 3: Students with parents up to Senior Secondary perform better due to guidance and exposure.
Higher qualified parents might unintentionally limit independent thinking in children's math learning.

Chapter 4 consists of Subobjective 2.3 and 2.4


## CHAPTER 4

IN-DEPTH STUDENT ANALYSIS PART-2

## SUBOBJECTIVE 2.3:EFFECT OF HOMEWORK FREQUENCY

LEVEL WISE MATHEMATICS ACHIEVEMENT TEST SCORE ACCORDING TO FREQUENCY OF ASSIGNMENTS OR HOMEWORK


SUMMARY OF T-TEST ON ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS ACCORDING TO FREQUENCY OF ASSIGNMENTS OR HOMEWORK

| Achievement Level | Frequency <br> of <br> Assignments or <br> Homework | Sample <br> Size <br> (n) | Mean | Std. <br> Dev. | DF | tvalue | P-value | Level <br> of Sig. | $\begin{array}{\|c} \mathrm{T} \\ \text { crit. } \end{array}$ | Signif. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level 1 | Almost on a daily basis | 116 | 13.3 | 1.5 | 213 | 12.7 | $\begin{gathered} 6.82 \mathrm{E}- \\ 28 \end{gathered}$ | 0.05 | 1.97 | S |
|  | Occasionally in an irregular manner | 99 | 9.7 | 1.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Level 2 | Almost on a daily basis | 116 | 11.4 | 2.3 | 213 | 16.4 | $9.41 \mathrm{E}-$$40$ | 0.05 | 1.97 | S |
|  | Occasionally in an irregular manner | 99 | 8.1 | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Level 3 | Almost on a daily basis | 116 | 10.9 | 2.8 | 213 | 12.25 | $\begin{gathered} 1.82 \mathrm{E}- \\ 26 \end{gathered}$ | 0.05 | 1.97 | S |
|  | Occasionally in an irregular manner | 99 | 6.9 | 1.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Overall Achievement | Almost on a daily basis | 116 | 35.7 | 5.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | 20.1 | 4.56E- <br> 51 | 0.05 | 1.97 | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Occasionally in an irregular manner | 99 | 24.6 | 2.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

These results suggest that the frequency of homework and assignments plays a crucial role in students' mathematics competency levels. More assignments and homework are associated with higher competency in all levels and an increased ability to apply mathematics to real-life problems. On the other hand, insufficient homework and assignments may hinder students' mathematical thinking and growth, potentially limiting their competency development.

SUBOBJECTIVE 2.4:EFFECT OF MARKS SCORED IN THE LAST EXAMINATION

MATHEMATICS ACHIEVEMENT TEST SCORE ACCORDING TO MARKS SCORED IN THE LAST EXAMINATION


## SUMMARY OF ONE-WAY ANOVA ON ACHIEVEMENT IN DIFFERENT

 COMPETENCY LEVELS ACCORDING TO MARK| Competency level | Source | Sum <br> Of <br> Sq. | Df | Mean sq. | F | p-value | F crit. | Signif. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level 1 | Between groups | 101.83 | 2 | 50.92 | 9.43 | 1.2E-04 | 3.04 | S |
|  | Within groups Total | 1145.3 | 212 | 5.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Level 2 | Between groups | 73.82 | 2 | 36.91 | 5.87 | 0.03 | 3.04 | S |
|  | Within groups | 1333.42 | 212 | 6.29 |  |  |  |  |
| Level 3 | Between groups | 192.39 | 2 | 96.2 | 11.1 | $2.55 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 3.04 | S |
|  | Within groups | 1833.83 <br> 2026.2 | 212 | 8.7 |  |  |  |  |


| Overall competency level | Between groups | $\begin{aligned} & 1048 . \\ & 78 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 524.3 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ | 12.24 | $\begin{aligned} & 9.29 E- \\ & 06 \end{aligned}$ | 3.04 | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Within groups | $\begin{aligned} & 9047 . \\ & 71 \end{aligned}$ | 212 | 42.83 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | $\begin{aligned} & 1012 \\ & 8.5 \end{aligned}$ | 214 |  |  |  |  |  |

This analysis supports the conclusion that the percentage of marks obtained in the last examination correlates with students' abilities in different levels of mathematics competency. Students who achieved more than 70 percent in their last mathematics examination outperformed their peers in level 1,2 , and 3 tasks, showcasing stronger mathematical competency. The results indicate a direct relationship between students' average mathematics competency scores and their last examination performance, affirming that classroom mathematics competency plays a crucial role in their ability to apply mathematics to real-life problems.


## CHAPTER 5

## UNLOCKING STUDENTS' PERSPECTIVE

## - OBJECTIVE 3: 'STUDENT PERSPECTIVES" Understanding how students view math in daily life.

THEME 1: Mathematics in every sphere of life
THEME 2: Integration of mathematics in real life
THEME 3: Interest in mathematics
THEME 4: Opting mathematics in higher classes
THEME 5: Teachers' ability to transform the mathematical ability in real life problems
THEME 6: Improvement in mathematics content, pedagogy and mode of assessment

## CHAPTER 6

## TEACHERS' INSIGHTS



## OBJECTIVE 4: "TEACHERS' INSIGHTS" .

GENDER
These results indicate that both male and female teachers have overall average perception scores that do not significantly differ.

Consequently, the data provides evidence supporting the conclusion that the perception of teachers regarding the application of mathematics to real-life problems is not influenced by their gender

## Summary of t-test of Perception of Teachers According to

Gender

| Perception Level | Gender | Sample <br> Size ( n ) | Mean | Std. Dev. $\sigma$ | DF | tvalue | Pvalue | Level of Sig. <br> (a) | † critical | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall | Male | 17 | 3.67 | 0.26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Perception <br> Level | female | 23 | 3.78 | 0.24 | 38 | 2.01 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 2.05 | NS |

## SUMMARY OF ONE WAY ANOVA OF PERCEPTION OF TEACHERS ACCORDING TO EDUCATIONAL QUALIFICATION

EDUCATIONAL QUALIFICATION




The average perception score of groups of teachers with different qualification and differed and to test the significance of the difference, ANOVA was employed.

More qualified teachers tend to have more favourable perception towards application of mathematics in solving real life problems.

| Perception Leve! | Source | Sum of Squares | DF | Mean <br> Squares | F | PValue | FCritical | Significance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall <br> Perception <br> Level | Between Groups | 0.87 | 2 | 0.43 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Within Groups | 4.13 | 37 |  | 8.11 | 0.0006 | 3.26 | S |
|  | Total | 5.0 | 39 |  |  |  |  |  |

## MEAN AND STANDARD DEVIATION FOR ACTIVE YEARS AND FREQUENCY OF ASSIGNMENT / HOMEWORK GIVEN

NUMBER OF ACTIVE YEARS OF SERVICE
FREQUENCY OF ASSIGNMENT / HOMEWORK GIVEN

## SUMMARY OF ONE WAY ANOVA OF PERCEPTION OF TEACHERS ACCORDING TO NUMBER OF ACTIVE YEARS OF SERVICE

| Perception <br> Leve! | Source | Sum of Squares | DF | Mean <br> Squares | F | PValue | FCritical | Significance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall <br> Perception <br> Level | Between Groups | 0.76 | 3 | 0.25 | 4.57 | 0.0053 | 2.88 | S |
|  | Within Groups | 4.23 | 36 | 0.06 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 5.0 | 39 |  |  |  |  |  |

The basis of the obtained value of test statistic F that there is a significant difference in overall perception of teachers on the basis of active period of service.

More specifically, results obtained indicate that teachers with lesser period of active service have significantly less favourable perception towards application of mathematics in real life problems.

## SUMMARY OF T-TEST OF PERCEPTION OF TEACHERS ACCORDING TO FREQUENCY OF HOMEWORK OR ASSIGNMENTS

| Perception Level | Frequency of Homework or assignments given | Sample Size <br> (n) | Mean | Std. Dev. $\sigma$ | DF | tvalue | Pvalue | Level of Sig. <br> (a) | $\dagger$ critical | Sig. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | On a daily basis | 1 | 3.80 | 0.28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Perception Level | Occasionally in an irregular Manner | 24 | 3.68 | 0.22 | 38 | 2.06 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 2.025 | S |

The basis of the obtained value of test statistic $t$ that there is a significant difference in the overall perception of teachers on the basis of frequencies of homework or assignments given to students.

Teachers who give frequent homework and assignments tend to have more favourable perception about application of mathematics in real life problems than the teachers who give homework and assignment occasionally in an irregular manner.

## CONCLUSION

Student Gender: Male students show higher real-world math application aptitude.
Parental Qualifications: Level 3 math competency is influenced by parents' education, especially up to Matriculation.

Homework \& Assignments: Regular homework positively impacts math competency at all levels.
Prior Exam Performance: Higher scores in previous exams correlate with better performance in all competency levels.

Teacher's Influence: Teachers' perceptions are positively affected by their qualifications and assigning frequent homework.

Key Influencing Factors: Classroom environment, curriculum, teaching methods, and student interest are crucial for practical math application.
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